Opioid and orexin hedonic hotspots in rat orbitofrontal cortex and insula
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Hedonic hotspots are brain sites where particular neurochemical stimulations causally amplify the hedonic impact of sensory rewards, such as “liking” for sweetness. Here, we report the mapping of two hedonic hotspots in cortex, where mu opioid or orexin stimulations enhance the hedonic impact of sucrose taste. One hedonic hotspot was found in anterior orbitofrontal cortex (OFc), and another was found in posterior insula. A suppressive hedonic coldspot was also found in the form of an intervening strip stretching from the posterior OFC through the anterior and middle insula, bracketed by the two cortical hotspots. Opioid/orexin stimulations in either cortical hotspot activated Fos throughout a distributed “hedonic circuit” involving cortical and subcortical structures. Conversely, cortical coldspot stimulation activated circuitry for “hedonic suppression.” Finally, food intake was increased by stimulations at several prefrontal cortical sites, indicating that the anatomical substrates in cortex for enhancing the motivation to eat are discriminable from those for hedonic impact.

Positive hedonic reactions to pleasant events are important for normal affective function and well-being. By contrast, pathological hedonic dysfunction may contribute to depression, addiction, and other affect-related disorders. Underlying brain mechanisms include a network of discrete “hedonic hotspots” in subcortical nucleus accumbens (NAC) and ventral pallidum (VP) that can amplify hedonic impact of sensory pleasures, producing intense “liking” (1, 2). As yet, the role of cortex in causing hedonic enhancements remains unclear.

In favor of cortical contributions, neuroimaging studies have reported that human orbitofrontal cortex (OFC) and insula regions encode the pleasantness of palatable foods (3–6). For example, tasting palatable food elicits robust changes in cortical activity, and the intensity of pleasure-elicited cortical activity declines as individuals consume the food to fullness, corresponding to their decline of subjective pleasure ratings induced by growing satiety (i.e., alliesthesia) (3–6). However, the role of the cortex as necessary for “liking” is questioned by evidence that cortical damage usually does not cause loss of hedonic function: Lesions in the OFC, insula, or anterior cingulate cortex in humans do not reliably suppress positive hedonic reactions to many pleasant stimuli, despite causing cognitive impairments that alter decisions about selection, pursuit, and consumption of rewards (7–11). Similarly in animal studies, cortical lesions fail to strongly suppress reward-elicited behaviors (12–14).

Showing that the cortex causes gains of function in the motivation to consume food rewards, Mena et al. (15) recently reported that cortical mu-opioid stimulation in rats, via microinjections of DAMGO, a synthetic opioid agonist with high mu-opioid receptor selectivity, in the medial prefrontal cortex (PFC) produced robust increases of food intake. Here, we aimed to assess whether similar opioid stimulations in the prefrontal and insula cortex might also specifically enhance the hedonic impact of the sensory pleasure of food, as assessed by orofacial “liking” reactions to sweetness.

Beyond opioid stimulation, orexin stimulation has been found to similarly cause “liking” enhancements in the same NAc and VP hotspots where DAMGO enhances hedonic impact (16, 17), raising the possibility that any cortical opioid hotspot for “liking” enhancement might also be stimulated by orexin. Orexin (hypocretin) is a hypothalamic peptide involved in appetite and in food and drug reward (18–20), beyond its role in arousal (21). Orexin neurons in hypothalamus project to PFC sites, including the OFC (22, 23), and orexin is a potential candidate to mediate hunger- and satiety-induced changes in “liking” (24, 25).

Therefore, we aimed here to compare orexin-A stimulation effects on “liking” reactions to those of opioid stimulation at the same cortical sites. We also compared the ability of opioid or orexin stimulations to alter the motivation to consume a sweet food. Finally, we compared patterns of Fos expression in reward circuitry throughout the brain recruited by cortical hotspot versus coldspot stimulations.

Results

Local Fos Plumes: Radius of Immediate Impact Surrounding Microinjections.

To map the localization of function at cortical sites that altered “liking” reactions, we created Fos plume-based maps of the spread of the impact and affective effects of drug microinjections in the cortex (Figs. 1–3, 7, and 8). In these maps, the size of each microinjection symbol reflected the size of local Fos plumes induced by microinjections of DAMGO or orexin, as reflected by Fos expression in neurons surrounding a microinjection site (Fig. 1). The color of each map symbol was determined by the within-subject behavioral effects of drug microinjections caused at that site on hedonic taste reactions, or on food intake, relative to baselines measured after vehicle microinjections in the same rats.

To assess the size of Fos plumes, a separate group of rats received only one microinjection of DAMGO, orexin, or vehicle. That is, local Fos expression surrounding a DAMGO microinjection or...
DAMGO microinjections oppositely reduced Fos by 25% below control levels (radius 0.48 ± 0.03 mm; volume = 0.46 ± 0.08 mm³). Those plume sizes were used to set the diameters of orexin microinjection symbols in all functional maps (Figs. 3 and 8).

Hedonic Impact: Anterior OFC Contains an Opioid–Orexin Hotspot. An opioid hedonic hotspot was found in an 8-mm³ subregion of the rostromedial OFC: In this OFC site DAMGO microinjections enhanced by 200–300% the number of positive hedonic (“liking”) reactions elicited by sucrose taste (compared with control levels elicited by sucrose in the same rats after vehicle microinjections) (Fig. 2).

Orexin microinjections in this rostromedial OFC hotspot similarly doubled to tripled the positive hedonic reactions elicited by sucrose (Fig. 3 and Figs. S2 and S3). Therefore, this rostromedial OFC site was considered to be a hedonic hotspot shared by both opioid and orexin mechanisms for “liking” enhancement. Both drugs in this OFC site elevated the entire constellation of positive hedonic reactions—rhythmic tongue protrusions, lateral tongue protrusions, and paw licks—as a group (Figs. S3 and S4). This pattern suggests hedonic amplification rather than motor effects on a single reaction. By contrast, neither DAMGO nor orexin microinjections altered negative “disgust reactions” elicited by quinine (i.e., gapes, headshakes, forelimb tails, chin rubs, or paw treads). The failure to alter bitterness-elicited disgust reactions also helps rule out general sensorimotor or arousal changes and suggests that OFC affective modulation was restricted to the positive hedonic dimension for sweetness “liking”. Finally, opioid/orexin hedonic enhancement also appeared to require actual concomitant sucrose sensation, as no orofacial reactions were spontaneously emitted by rats that had received DAMGO or orexin microinjections in the absence of sucrose oral infusion. This pattern also seems to rule out simple motor effects on orofacial reactions.

Anatomically, the anterior border of the rostromedial OFC hedonic hotspot began near the rostral edge of the medial orbital and ventral orbital cortex. Medially, the OFC hotspot extended posteriorly along the midline of the brain to the posterior border of the medial orbital cortex. However, the hedonic hotspot did not penetrate prelimbic, infralimbic, or anterior cingulate regions of the medial PFC: DAMGO or orexin microinjections at these sites generally failed to alter sucrose-elicited reactions. Speculatively extrapolating to humans, this pattern suggests that the medial portion of the OFC hotspot might correspond roughly to human area 14 (caudal), located immediately rostral to prelimbic area-area 32d (27). However, although prelimbic cortex microinjections failed to increase hedonic reactions, orexin (but not DAMGO) microinjections in the prelimbic cortex did decrease disgust reactions to quinine (\(\chi^2 = 9.33, P = 0.009\); DAMGO: \(Z = -0.312, P = 0.755\); orexin: \(Z = -2.319, P = 0.020\), suggesting a potential but slightly different role in suppressing negative affect (Fig. S5).

Along the lateral surface of the brain, the OFC hedonic hotspot extended posteriorly through the entire ventral orbital area (potentially corresponding to area 13) and through the anterior two-thirds of the ventral lateral orbital area of the OFC to the claustrum.

Fig. 1. Microinjection Fos plumes. Fos plumes were mapped around DAMGO and orexin microinjections at three sites in the cortex: the OFC hotspot (A), the OFC/insula coldspot (B), and the insula hotspot (C). DAMGO produced excitatory outer plumes in the rostral OFC and caudal insula but an inhibitory outer plume in the OFC/insula middle zone and excitatory inner plumes in all three sites. Orexin produced similar inhibitory outer and excitatory inner plumes at all three sites. Drug-induced radius and percent intensity change in Fos from vehicle microinjections are shown for DAMGO and orexin microinjections (Fig. 1 and Fig. S1).

We did detect some regional differences in Fos plumes across different cortical sites. For example, DAMGO microinjections in the rostral OFC and caudal insula generated large outer plumes with radii of 0.42–0.45 mm whereas Fos was increased by 125% over vehicle-induced levels. Rostral OFC and caudal insula plumes contained an inner intense excitatory plume with 0.26- to 0.31-mm radii, where Fos was elevated by 200% over vehicle control levels (volume = 0.07–0.13 mm³). In the rostral insula, DAMGO microinjections oppositely reduced Fos by 25% below vehicle (i.e., an inhibitory antiplume) in a similarly sized outer plume with a radius of 0.55 mm. DAMGO stimulation still caused a 200% increase in Fos in a smaller inner plume with a radius of 0.31 mm. Since the size of these plumes did not differ significantly across the OFC and insula subregions, but only in the direction of change for outer plumes, their radius averages were taken to produce a single DAMGO symbol size for maps: an outer radius of 0.47 mm (volume = 0.44 mm³) and an inner radius of 0.29 mm (volume = 0.10 mm³). That symbol size was used for all DAMGO symbols in functional maps of taste reactivity and of food intake (Figs. 2 and 7).

For orexin-induced Fos plumes, microinjections in the OFC and insula reliably generated plumes of similar size at all cortical sites but with a different center–surround organization. Orexin Fos plumes all contained an inner excitatory center where Fos was elevated by 200% over vehicle control levels with a radius of 0.31 ± 0.01 mm (volume = 0.13 ± 0.02 mm³), surrounded by an outer inhibitory antiplume where Fos was suppressed by 25% below control vehicle levels (radius 0.48 ± 0.03 mm; volume = 0.46 ± 0.08 mm³). Those plume sizes were used to set the diameters of orexin microinjection symbols in all functional maps (Figs. 3 and 8).

an orexin microinjection in some rats was compared with control levels measured in other rats that received vehicle microinjections at comparable sites. A single-microinjection group was used for plume size assessment to avoid potential shrinkage of plumes after repeated microinjections due to gliosis or damage, which would lead to false underestimation of size (26). Fos plume size and Fos intensity were measured separately for rostral vs. caudal subregions of the OFC and insula in case those regions differed in Fos responsiveness to drug microinjections (Fig. 1 and Fig. S1).

We did detect some regional differences in Fos plumes across different cortical sites. For example, DAMGO microinjections in the rostral OFC and caudal insula generated large outer plumes with radii of 0.42–0.45 mm whereas Fos was increased by 125% over vehicle-induced levels. Rostral OFC and caudal insula plumes contained an inner intense excitatory plume with 0.26- to 0.31-mm radii, where Fos was elevated by 200% over vehicle control levels (volume = 0.07–0.13 mm³). In the rostral insula, DAMGO microinjections oppositely reduced Fos by 25% below vehicle (i.e., an inhibitory antiplume) in a similarly sized outer plume with a radius of 0.55 mm. DAMGO stimulation still caused a 200% increase in Fos in a smaller inner plume with a radius of 0.31 mm. Since the size of these plumes did not differ significantly across the OFC and insula subregions, but only in the direction of change for outer plumes, their radius averages were taken to produce a single DAMGO symbol size for maps: an outer radius of 0.47 mm (volume = 0.44 mm³) and an inner radius of 0.29 mm (volume = 0.10 mm³). That symbol size was used for all DAMGO symbols in functional maps of taste reactivity and of food intake (Figs. 2 and 7).

For orexin-induced Fos plumes, microinjections in the OFC and insula reliably generated plumes of similar size at all cortical sites but with a different center–surround organization. Orexin Fos plumes all contained an inner excitatory center where Fos was elevated by 200% over vehicle control levels with a radius of 0.31 ± 0.01 mm (volume = 0.13 ± 0.02 mm³), surrounded by an outer inhibitory antiplume where Fos was suppressed by 25% below control vehicle levels (radius 0.48 ± 0.03 mm; volume = 0.46 ± 0.08 mm³). Those plume sizes were used to set the diameters of orexin microinjection symbols in all functional maps (Figs. 3 and 8).
Suppressive Lateral Coldspot: Posterior OFC and Most of the Insula. Immediately posterior to the OFC hotspot, an oppositely valenced hedonic coldspot or strip was mapped along the ventrolateral cortical site on taste reactivity ("liking") reactions elicited by sucrose taste. Each symbol placement indicates an individual rat’s microinjection site (symbol size reflects the DAMGO Fos plume). Symbol colors reflect the within-subject behavioral change in hedonic reactions induced by DAMGO microinjection, shown as percentage change from vehicle control levels measured in the same rat (hedonic enhancements: yellow-orange-red; suppressions: blue). Mu-receptor stimulation effects by DAMGO microinjection in the OFC enhanced hedonic “liking”, depending on the anatomical subregion of the OFC (rostromedial versus caudolateral OFC; $\chi^2 = 4.967, P = 0.026$). At rostromedial sites, DAMGO stimulation enhanced hedonic “liking” reactions to sucrose by 200–300% ($\chi^2 = 15.826, P < 0.001$; DAMGO: $Z = −2.983, P = 0.003, r = −0.81, CI (2, 12); n = 13$). DAMGO microinjections in the caudolateral OFC and rostral 2/3 of insula oppositely suppressed hedonic reactions ($\chi^2 = 17.659, P < 0.001$; DAMGO: $Z = −3.673, P < 0.001, r = 0.65, CI (−9, −2); n = 26$). DAMGO microinjections in the far-caudal insula enhanced hedonic reactions compared with vehicle baseline (vehicle: $\chi^2 = 9.75, P < 0.008$; DAMGO: $Z = −2.524, P = 0.012, r = 0.63, CI (1, 13); n = 11$) and compared with DAMGO at rostral/mid sites in the insula ($\chi^2 = 34.320, P < 0.0001$). No other cortical site altered hedonic reactions (gray; $n = 42$).

Functional insula zones are based on Kosar et al. (51) (square), Cechetto and Saper (28) (circle), and Peng et al. (45) (triangle).

The hotspot posterior border was approximately at +3.5 mm anterior to Bregma and lateral border was ±3.0 mm lateral to midline, corresponding to area 12/47. By contrast, an oppositelyvalenced hedonic coldspot, described below, occupied the most posterior one-third of the ventrolateral orbital area and posteriorly beyond, where sucrose-“liking” reactions were reduced by DAMGO or orexin microinjections.

In total extent, the dimensions of the OFC hotspot were estimated to be anteroposterior (A–P) length = 2.9 mm (including both the medial surface and the lateral surface); mediolateral (M–L) width = 1.8 mm; and dorsoventral (D–V) height = 1.63 mm (Figs. 1 and 2). The total volume of the OFC hotspot was thus calculated to be ∼8.5 mm$^3$. However, we note our sites did not probe into the dorsal region of the lateral orbital area, leaving it uncertain whether the hotspot’s anterior dorsolateral edge extended there. The dimensions and volumes above were calculated based on all sites where our microinjections were found to exert positive “liking” effects.

Posterior Hedonic Hotspot in Far-Caudal Insula. A second cortical hedonic hotspot was identified in the far-posterior insula. The anterior edge of this posterior insula hotspot began immediately behind the caudal edge of the coldspot, essentially filling the far-posterior 25% of insula (i.e., near parietal cortex). In this posterior insula hotspot, microinjections of either DAMGO or orexin again doubled or tripled the number of hedonic reactions elicited by sucrose compared with vehicle control levels in the same rats (Figs. 2 and 3 and Fig. S3). Opioid and orexin hedonic enhancements were again selective to positive hedonic “liking” reactions elicited by sucrose: Neither opioid nor orexin altered the robust disgust gapes and related negative reactions elicited by quinine ($\chi^2 = 4.769, P = 0.092$) (Fig. S4). Hedonic enhancements again required simultaneous sucrose infusion to be observed and did not occur as anticipatory reactions before the onset of sucrose infusions.

Anatomically, the anterior edge of the insula hedonic hotspot was approximately at −0.5 mm Bregma, an A–P level where the fornix diverges medially into bilateral columns and where the anterior edge of third ventricle and the posterior edge of anterior commissure are also located. The insula hotspot extended posteriorly over 2 mm, ending at the border of the perirhinal and ectorhinal cortex. Thus, this hotspot included posterior insula sites traditionally viewed as having sensory visceral and respiratory functions (28, 29). Dorsally, the insula hedonic hotspot extended to the secondary somatosensory cortex and ventrally to the piriform cortex. Medially, the hotspot was bordered by the caustrum rostrally and the external capsule caudally. Agranular, dysgranular, and granular layers of the far-posterior insula again all contained roughly equal proportions of hedonic enhancement sites. The dimensions of the hedonic hotspot in posterior insula stretched 2.7 mm A–P in length, 1.41 mm M–L in width, and
Comparison of DAMGO/Orexin Effects in OFC/Insula Hotspots vs. the Coldspot. The hedonic function map described above suggests that the two opioid/orexin hedonic hotspots in the rostral OFC and posterior insula essentially bracket the hedonic coldspot strip between them (Figs. 1 and 2). DAMGO and orexin shared the same anatomical hotspot boundaries, and within those boundaries the neurochemical stimulations produced comparable effects (Z = −0.915, P = 0.374) (Figs. 1 and 2). Similarly, in the 5-mm coldspot both drugs produced hedonic suppressions (posterior OFC, anterior insula, and mid to posterior insula) (DAMGO Z = −0.661, P = 0.539; orexin Z = −0.166, P = 0.872), although DAMGO produced a slightly stronger suppression (64% DAMGO vs. 79% orexin; Z = −2.117, P = 0.034).

Distant Fos in Subcortical Structures Induced by Cortical Hotspot vs. Coldspot Microinjections. We assessed distant changes in Fos expression in cortex and in several subcortical structures recruited by cortical hotspot/coldspot microinjections of DAMGO or orexin, focusing on the NAc shell, VP, and lateral hypothalamus (Figs. 4–6, Fig. S1, and Tables S1–S3). For all structures, Fos was measured after initial DAMGO/orexin microinjections in the cortex and was compared with control Fos levels measured in other rats after vehicle microinjections at the same cortical site. The effects on Fos of cortical drug microinjections alone (without taste infusions) were used to avoid confounds by motor/behavioral feedback effects on Fos expression that would accompany taste-elicited orofacial reactions and to obtain a pure site comparison of neurochemical stimulation effects.

OFC hotspot microinjections. DAMGO or orexin microinjections in the rostral OFC hotspot each recruited an increase in Fos expression in the posterior insula hotspot by >15% (orexin) to >25% (DAMGO) over vehicle control levels, suggesting the two cortical hotspots were coactivated. By contrast, no Fos increase was seen in the cortical OFC/insula coldspot after rostral OFC hotspot drug microinjections (Fig. 4). Subcortically, DAMGO or orexin microinjection in the OFC hotspot also recruited increases of >50% Fos expression in the medial shell of the NAc and specifically in its rostrodorsal quadrant that has been previously identified as containing a NAc hedonic hotspot (16, 26, 30). By contrast, no NAc increase in Fos was found in either rostroventral or caudal (coldspot) subregions of the medial shell (26). Finally, microinjections of DAMGO/orexin in the rostral OFC hotspot also recruited a >25% Fos increase in the lateral hypothalamus at the site containing orexin cell bodies.

Posterior insula hotspot microinjections. DAMGO microinjections in the hedonic hotspot of the far-posterior insula did not detectably alter Fos in the rostromedial OFC hotspot, but orexin microinjections did recruit >25% increases of Fos in the rostral OFC hedonic hotspot as well as in the OFC/insula coldspot strip (all with effect sizes of Cohen’s d >1.0). Subcortically, both DAMGO and orexin microinjections in insula hotspot recruited increases of >15% in Fos expression in the anterior and posterior halves of the VP (d >0.7 to >1.0). Orexin (but not DAMGO) microinjections also recruited a >25% Fos increase in the NAc hedonic hotspot of the rostrodorsal medial shell (no changes in the rostroventral shell or in the caudal shell coldspot). In the VP, the caudal half has previously been identified to contain an opioid/orexin hedonic hotspot, whereas the rostral half contains an opioid coldspot for suppression of “liking” reactions to sucrose taste (17, 31). However, the caudal VP is also implicated in positive incentive motivation for drug and food rewards (Fig. 5) (31, 32). Finally, DAMGO microinjection in the posterior insula hotspot suppressed Fos by >25% in a NAc hedonic coldspot in the caudal medial shell (i.e., produced Fos levels that were <75% of control vehicle-microinjection levels at the same site) (Fig. 5). These results suggest that opioid/orexin stimulations of the far-posterior insula hotspot may recruit widespread “hedonic circuitry” similar to that recruited by stimulations of the anterior OFC hotspot and may suppress opposing antihedonic circuitry. Overall this pattern suggests that OFC and insula hotspots can each recruit hedonic-enhancing circuitry that is widely spread throughout the brain, potentially as part of a larger mechanism for cortically mediated enhancement of “liking” reactions.

OFC–insula coldspot microinjections. In the hedonic coldspot zone stretching from the posterior OFC through the anterior and middle insula, where stimulations suppressed sucrose-“liking” reactions, DAMGO or orexin microinjections produced a cross-cortical >25% suppression of Fos in the rostral OFC hedonic hotspot (Fig. 6). However, the far-posterior insula hotspot was not detectably altered. Subcortically, insula coldspot microinjections of DAMGO and orexin both produced >25% suppression in the lateral hypothalamus. Conversely, insula coldspot stimulations produced a >25% (DAMGO) to >50% (orexin) increase in Fos in both the NAc opioid coldspot in the caudal medial shell and in the VP coldspot in the anterior VP (effect size d >1.0). Cross-coldspot activation suggests the recruitment of a distributed antihedonic network that might participate in reducing “liking” reactions to
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sucrose to below-normal levels (Fig. 6) (26, 33, 34). However, orexin (but not DAMGO) also recruited a similar Fos increase in the
caudal VP, which contains the VP hedonic hotspot and so is
less easily explained as hedonic suppression.

OFC and Insula Affect Food Intake Differently. The intake of pal-
atable sweet food (M&M chocolate candies) was measured in
1-h free-intake tests conducted immediately after each taste-
reactivity session. We found that palatable food intake was
increased by 30–70% after DAMGO microinjection at virtually
all OFC sites in both the hedonic hotspot and coldspot subre-
gions (although tending highest at rostral OFC sites) compared
with vehicle microinjections in the same rats (Fig. 7). This sup-
ports the report by Mena et al. (15) that DAMGO stimulations at
higher doses enhanced food intake in sites throughout the entire
OFC and beyond. These sites extended beyond our OFC hedonic
hotspot to additional OFC and other prefrontal sites, which might
be viewed as inducing increased “wanting” to eat without increasing
“liking” for what is eaten (15, 35). Different physical stimuli were
used for our taste-reactivity test (sucrose solution) and food intake
test (sucrose-containing chocolate candy). We view that difference
as unlikely to contribute much to the difference between our he-
donic vs. intake cortical maps, given that Mena et al. also reported
that two physically different foods gave similar intake results. By
contrast, we did not observe increases in food intake after either
DAMGO or orexin microinjections at sites in the prelimbic,
infralimbic, or anterior cingulate cortex (Figs. 6 and 7). This is
different from the increased food intake reported by Mena et al.
(15), but we note our DAMGO dose was only 1/20th of their most
the OFC/insula coldspot also increased Fos by 124% in the VP caudal hotspot (t>1.172) and was suppressed 24% in the lateral hypothalamus (d = -1.102). By comparison, orexin microinjection in the OFC/insula coldspot robustly suppressed Fos activity by 34% in the OFC hotspot (d = -1.102) but left the insula hotspot unaltered (d = 0.608). Orexin microinjection in the OFC/insula coldspot did not change Fos in the NAC rostrodorsal shell hotspot or rostroventral shell but did increase Fos in the caudal shell NAC coldspot by 125% (d = 0.531). Fos activity in the caudal VP hotspot was not changed (d = 0.154), but Fos was increased 138% in the rostral VP coldspot (d = 1.172) and was suppressed 24% in the lateral hypothalamus (d = -0.202). By comparison, orexin microinjection in the OFC/insula coldspot robustly suppressed Fos activity by 34% in the OFC hotspot (d = -1.102) but left the insula hotspot unaltered (d = 0.608). Orexin microinjection in the OFC/insula coldspot did not change Fos in the NAC rostrodorsal shell hotspot or in the rostroventral shell but did increase Fos by 152% in the NAC caudal shell coldspot (d = 1.164). Orexin microinjection in the OFC/insula coldspot also increased Fos by 124% in the VP caudal hotspot (d = 1.142) and by 120% in VP rostral coldspot (d = 0.684). Finally, orexin microinjections in the OFC/insula coldspot suppressed Fos activity by 20% in the lateral hypothalamus (d = -1.174).

effective dose. There were also differences in test procedures: Our intake tests were conducted serially after taste-reactivity tests and so were delayed 30 min after a microinjection, whereas Mena et al. measured intake directly after microinjections. Our serial procedure avoided the need to double the number of rats, which would have been required to conduct separate food intake and taste-reactivity tests while avoiding too many microinjections in a single rat. We therefore caution that our intake results show relative site differences in intake stimulation but may not reflect absolute failures in site capacity to increase intake.

For the insula, no sites here supported reliable increases in intake after either orexin or DAMGO microinjections, in either the anterior-mid insula (hedonic coldspot) or far-posterior insula (hedonic hotspot), although there was some variability across individual sites. However, DAMGO microinjections (although not orexin) at several sites in the piriform cortex (i.e., ventral to insula) did appear to increase intake (Figs. 6 and 7).

Discussion

Our results provide evidence that particular sites in the OFC and insula are capable of causing enhancements of sucrose hedonic impact (“liking” reactions). Further, our maps localize this capability to particular hedonic hotspots. Both the rostromedial OFC and far-caudal insula regions each contained a discrete 6–8 mm³ hedonic hotspot where mu-opioid or orexin microinjections amplified the hedonic impact of sweetness, expressed here as 200–300% increases in affective “liking” reactions elicited by sucrose taste.

The OFC hotspot lay near the anterior tip of the PFC (i.e., just caudal and dorsal to the olfactory bulb) and extended posteriorly in medial, ventral, and lateral directions to fill the rostral two-thirds of the OFC. The insula hotspot was contained in the farthest-posterior quarter of the structure. The two cortical hotspots were positioned nearly as bookends along an extended 5-mm-long coldspot strip on the lateral surface of the brain, stretching from posterior OFC to midposterior insula (18 mm³). In that hedonic coldspot lateral strip, the same neurochemical stimulations suppressed “liking” reactions to sweetness by 30–50% of control levels. DAMGO and orexin microinjections produced virtually identical maps for these cortical hedonic hotspots and the coldspot.

Cortical Involvement in Affective Processing. As noted earlier, human fMRI neuroimaging and animal electrophysiological studies have reported that midanterior OFC and insula activity encodes the pleasantness of odors and tastes, such as palatable beverages or chocolate candy (1, 3, 5, 6, 36–40). Activity in those regions even tracks alliesthesia decrements in pleasure for the same tastes induced by caloric and/or sensory-specific satiety (3, 5). Such demonstrations provide strong evidence for hedonic coding (rather than alternative coding of stable sensory features, such as sweetness) (1). Conversely, negative stimulus-evoked affect, such as disgust or pain, has been reported to correlate with anterior insula activity (41–44), whereas posterior insula activity is also reported to correlate with positive food reward (25).

Experimental stimulations of limbic cortex, mostly in animals, have also implicated the OFC and insula in causing reward functions, such as incentive motivation to consume food. For example, Mena et al. (15) demonstrated that DAMGO microinjections at sites in the ventral and medial PFC of rats increased eating behavior and intake of food. Similarly, optogenetic stimulation of a putative “sweet” gustatory cortex zone of the rostral insula in mice is reported to induce a conditioned place preference for a paired location and caused increased licking of a water spout (45). Electrical stimulation in the OFC or insula supports self-stimulation behavior in rats (46), and midinsula stimulation also produces other positive reactions such as social-affiliative behaviors in monkeys (47). By contrast, electrical stimulation specifically of the anterior insula has been reported to elicit disgust reactions in cats and monkeys (48), including actively spitting out a normally preferred food (47). In mice, optogenetic stimulation at a putative “bitter” zone in the midinsula is reported to induce disgust gaps to water (45). Finally, in humans, spontaneous electrical excitation in the anterior insula associated with epileptic seizures has been suggested to be sometimes accompanied by “ecstatic auras” involving “intense feeling of bliss, [and] enhanced well-being” (49). Collectively, these gain-of-function effects seem consistent with our findings that localized cortical site stimulations can modulate “liking” reactions.

Cross-Species Homologies. Although necessarily speculative, it seems of interest to consider what potential human cortical homologs might correspond to the hedonic hotspot or coldspot sites mapped here in rats. Several considerations suggest that a
potential human homolog to the rat anterior OFC hedonic hotspot might exist in agranular regions of the caudal OFC (i.e., Brodmann areas 14c and 13a) (50). Humans have additional rostral zones that extend further anteriorly in the OFC but are granular (Brodmann areas 10, 11, 13, 14, 12/47), whereas in rats all of the OFC is agranular, including the hedonic hotspot. Agranular cortex has been suggested to be the best candidate for primate–rodent OFC homology, and if a human hedonic hotspot were similarly agranular, it would likely be in the caudal OFC.

By comparison, the cortical hedonic coldspot strip of rats identified here (i.e., caudolateral OFC to anterior and middle insula) included granular as well as agranular regions of insula. The coldspot strip continued caudally in rats through the entire gustatory zone of the middle and posterior insula. The gustatory cortex in rats is an ~2-mm A–P strip of rostral agranular or dysgranular insula around and especially rostral to the middle artery (51–53). It was recently suggested that a specific anterior site in the gustatory insula cortex of mice codes sweet taste, whereas a specific posterior site codes bitterness (45; however, see ref. 53). Our hedonic coldspot here probably contained both those putative taste-specific sites (although our study used rats rather than mice) and extended even more posteriorly into what is traditionally classified as a visceral region of the sensory insula. Others have similarly suggested that taste-related functions may extend posteriorly beyond the classic gustatory cortex into this same traditionally visceral insula region in rats. For example, Schier et al. (13) showed that lesions in the insula disrupted Pavlovian taste-aversion learning (caused by pairing a novel taste with LiCl-induced nausea). Their taste-aversion disruptive zone in the insula approximtely straddled the border between our insula coldspot and insula hotspot (54).

In primates, the gustatory cortex is in the rostral insula and frontal operculum (52, 55, 56). In humans, potential comparison is further complicated by considering that human insula has more recognized subregions than rat insula (57, 58) and that the human orientation of agranular, dysgranular, and granular zones of insula appears to be rotated by nearly 45° clockwise compared with rats.

Thus, the agranular zone is located anteriorly (and ventrally) in human insula, whereas the granular zone is posterior (and dorsal). By comparison, in rats the agranular zone is more simply the ventral insula, and the granular zone is the dorsal insula. Here, our insula hedonic coldspot included all granular, dysgranular, and granular zones of the anterior and midposterior rat insula. The caudal tip of our insula hedonic hotspot is so far posterior that this region was not even recognized as belonging to rodent insula until the 1990s (59). Its reclassification as insula was based on the recognition that it received afferent visceral sensory inputs, contained agranular, dysgranular, and granular zones, and sent divergent projections to amygdala, all similar to other insula regions (60). We suggest speculatively that, if a rat hedonic coldspot or hotspot were rotated similarly to the human rotation of insula granularity zones, then a corresponding human hedonic coldspot/hotspot might comprise an anterior subregion of agranular insula, an anterior subregion of dysgranular insula, and even an anterior subregion of granular insula (even though the human granular zone is posterior to agranular/dysgranular zones). Alternatively, if simple anterior versus posterior placement in insula matters more than zone rotation in hedonic organization, then the entire human agranular insula (i.e., anterior insula) might belong to a hedonic coldspot, whereas dysgranular or granular insula might contain the human hedonic hotspot (i.e., posterior insula). Future studies may be able to assess such possibilities.

**Gain Versus Loss of Function.** Our finding that cortical hedonic hotspot stimulations caused gains of “liking” function does not necessarily imply that lesions of the same cortical hotspots would produce deficits in “liking” or losses of hedonic function (7–12, 14, 61). In particular, gains of function can exist without reciprocal loss of function, especially for structures that occupy relatively high levels in a brain control hierarchy (62, 63). High levels of a hierarchy may potentiate functions that are largely embedded in lower structures to produce gains of function. However, damage to those higher structures would produce loss of hierarchical control but not loss of original functions remaining in lower structures.

Fig. 7. Mu-opioid stimulation increases food intake in the OFC and piriform cortex. Functional maps show increases (green) or decreases (blue) in palatable food intake caused by DAMGO microinjections in cortical sites. Each symbol placement indicates a microinjection site; its size reflects Fos plume size, and the color reflects the percent change in consumption of M&Ms induced by the drug microinjection compared with vehicle control levels in the same rat. DAMGO microinjections in the medial PFC, rostromedial and caudolateral OFC, and far-anterior insula increased food intake >130% over vehicle control days ($\chi^2 = 6.632, P = 0.036; Z = -2.334, P = 0.020, r = 0.54,$ CI (-0.7, 4.3)). DAMGO microinjection in the piriform cortex also increased food intake (overall: $\chi^2 = 6.222, P = 0.045; Z = -1.886, P = 0.059$). No consistent increase was observed with microinjections in the middle or posterior insula ($\chi^2 = 2.78, P = 0.249$), even in the far-posterior hotspot ($\chi^2 = 2.516, P = 0.284$). Likewise, no increase was observed with microinjections in infralimbic or anterior cingulate sites ($\chi^2 = 0.020, P = 0.247$) or motor/somatosensory cortex ($\chi^2 = 0.54, CI (-0.54, 1.48$).
specifically gain-of-function mechanisms, so that damage to them reactions. In short, we view cortical hedonic hotspots/coldspots as recruiting active hedonic-suppression circuitry to reduce NAc coldspot may be viewed as essentially a gain of function, via stimulation of a cortical OFC normal and loss of function for hedonic causation, as lesions there abolish contrast, the caudal VP hotspot combines both gain of function (16, 17, 26, 65, 66). Even suppression of amusement, interest, and excitement (9). By contrast, cortical lesions do appear to cause subtle taste-specific alterations in sensory preference or detection, and lesions of the posterior insula can disrupt learning of conditioned taste aversions (54). Similar to OFC and insula hotspots, the NAc hedonic hotspot in the rostromedial shell provides another subcortical example of gain without loss of hedonic function: Opioid/orexin stimulation enhances “liking”, but lesions do not impair “liking” reactions. By contrast, the caudal VP hotspot combines both gain of function and loss of function for hedonic causation, as lesions there abolish normal “liking” reactions so that sweet tastes elicit disgust reactions (16, 17, 26, 65, 66). Even suppression of “liking” by opioid/orexin stimulation of a cortical OFC-insula coldspot or a caudal shell NAc coldspot may be viewed as essentially a gain of function, via recruiting active hedonic-suppression circuitry to reduce “liking” reactions. In short, we view cortical hedonic hotspots/coldspots as specifically gain-of-function mechanisms, so that damage to them need not necessarily be expected to cause hedonic changes.

Brain-Wide Circuitry for Hedonic Enhancement vs. Suppression. Consistent with this view, we found that opioid/orexin stimulation in cortical hotspots recruited distinct patterns of Fos activation across the brain, in other cortical regions, and in several subcortical structures that contain other hedonic hotspots. By contrast, cortical coldspot stimulation recruited a very different pattern of Fos activation across the brain, including other hedonic coldspots, that might mediate active suppression of “liking” reactions. For example, DAMGO and orexin microinjections in the OFC or insula hotspots typically increased Fos expression in the corresponding cortical hotspot. They also increased Fos in two subcortical hotspots: the NAc (the rostroventral quadrant of the medial shell) and VP (the posterior/dorsolateral half of the VP) (31, 67). This recruitment of distant hotspots seems similar to previous findings that NAc hotspot stimulation recruited VP hotspot activation, and vice versa (33). Mutual recruitment among hotspots suggests that neurochemical stimulation of a given hedonic hotspot recruits other hotspots into simultaneous unanymous activation, forming an integrated network of hedonic circuitry activation.

In contrast, DAMGO/orexin microinjections in the cortical coldspot caused a suppression of Fos in the OFC hotspot, suggesting intercortical suppression as one mechanism to reduce hedonic impact. Additionally, increased Fos was observed in the caudal NAc coldspot, perhaps indicating an active hedonic-suppression circuit.

That valence difference between circuitry activated by stimulation of cortical hedonic hotspots versus the hedonic coldspot was the most striking feature observed in brain Fos patterns, but there were also differences in the activation patterns of the two cortical hotspots. For example, only OFC hotspot stimulation increased Fos in the lateral hypothalamus, potentially suggesting an interaction basis for hedonic modulation by physiological hunger and satiety states. Conversely, insula hotspot stimulations increased Fos in the anterior portion of the VP, where opioid stimulation can negatively suppress hedonic “liking” reactions but where other manipulations stimulate appetitive motivation for food or drug rewards (31, 32). All these Fos changes occurred even in the absence of taste-elicited reactions, which helps to rule out any possibility that they were motor consequences of behavioral feedback from orofacial reactions and indicates instead that circuitry patterns were directly activated by neurochemical stimulation of the cortical sites.

Conclusion. Our results indicate that opioid/orexin stimulation of particular cortical sites recruits brain-wide hedonic circuitry to either enhance or suppress the positive hedonic impact of sweetness. The OFC and insula each contain a distinct and localized hedonic hotspot where mu-opioid or orexin stimulations amplify “liking” reactions to sucrose taste. Conversely, an

Fig. 8. Orexin stimulation in the OFC increases food intake. Functional maps show increases (green) or decreases (blue) in palatable food intake caused by orexin microinjections in cortical sites. Symbols are as in Fig. 7. The color reflects the percent change in the consumption of M&Ms induced by the orexin microinjection compared with vehicle control levels in the same rat. Orexin microinjections in all OFC regions increased food intake by 150% over vehicle control levels ($\chi^2 = 6.632, P = 0.036; Z = -2.175, P = 0.030, r = 0.50, CI (0.7, 2.7))$, as well as in a few medial prefrontal sites in the anterior cingulate and infralimbic cortex. No consistent increase was observed after orexin microinjections in any region of the insula ($\chi^2 = 2.78, P = 0.249; \chi^2 = 2.516, P = 0.284$). Likewise, no intake increase was observed after orexin microinjections at sites in the piriform cortex ($Z = 0.471, P = 0.637$, ventromedial PFC $\chi^2 = 0.187, P = 0.911$, olfactory cortex $\chi^2 = 2.80, P = 0.247$, or motor/somatosensory cortex $\chi^2 = 1.652, P = 0.438$).
anatomically intervening strip forms a hedonic coldspot where the same neurochemical stimulations suppress “liking”. OFC hotspot stimulations also enhanced voluntary food intake, but insula hotspot stimulations did not. Additionally, other cortical sites stimulated intake, including sites in piriform cortex and in the OFC coldspot. Thus, there is overlap, but there also are differences, between cortical localization of circuitry that modulates hedonic impact and mechanisms that contribute to the motivation to eat. A better understanding of cortical hedonic modulation may have implications for understanding the hierarchical neural organization of affective disorders as well as of normal “liking” reactions.

Materials and Methods

Animals. One hundred twenty-four Sprague–Dawley rats (250–400 g; male: n = 68, female: n = 56; behavioral test groups: n = 92; cortical Fos plume groups: n = 32) were housed in a reverse 12:12 light-dark cycle at 21 °C constant temperature. Chow and water were provided ad libitum. All procedures were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at the University of Michigan.

Taste Reactivity and Cannulation Surgery. Rats were anesthetized with ketamine hydrochloride (80 mg/kg, i.p.) mixed with xylazine (5 mg/kg, i.p.) and were pretreated with atropine (0.05 mg/kg, i.p.) to prevent respiratory distress. Rats were implanted with microinjection guide cannulas in the OFC, with sites chosen so that the group as a whole would blanket the OFC, medial PFC, and insula. Each rat was placed in a stereotaxic apparatus (David Kopf Instruments) with the incisor bar set at −3.3 mm below intraoral zero for flat skull measurements. Bilateral permanent microinjection guide cannulas were implanted (23-gauge, stainless steel; length = 12.5 mm for OFC and medial prefrontal sites, 14 mm for insula sites). Bilateral placements were aimed to be symmetrical across sites within each rat, with identical mirror coordinates on left-right hemispheres. OFC placements (n = 19) ranged from +5.4 mm to +2.76 mm (A–P) from Bregma, ±0.2 mm to ±3.4 mm M–L, and −4.0 mm to −6.8 mm D–V. Insula placements (n = 32) ranged from +4.2 mm to −2.64 mm (A–P) from Bregma, ±3.5 mm to ±6.6 mm M–L, and −5.6 mm to −7.8 mm D–V. Microinjection guide cannulas were anchored to the skull using surgical screws and dental acrylic and were plugged with 28-gauge stainless-steel obturators to prevent clogging. In the same surgery, rats intended for behavioral taste-reactivity testing also were implanted with bilateral oral cannulas (polyethylene-100 tubing) to permit oral infusions of sucrose and quinine solutions (67). Oral cannulas entered the mouth in the upper cheek pouch lateral to the first maxillary molar, ascended beneath the zygomatic arch, and then exited through the skin at the dorsal headcap (68). After surgery, each rat received s.c. injections of carprofen (5 mg/kg) for pain relief and another carprofen dose 24 h later. Rats recovered for 1 wk before beginning behavioral testing.

Drug Microinjections. Rats were handled in the lap of the experimenter during bilateral microinjections. Obturators were removed, microinjection cannulas were inserted into guide cannulas (OFC: 12.5 mm, 29-gauge; insula: 14 mm, 29-gauge; calibrated so that the microinjection cannula extended 1 mm (OFC) or 2 mm (insula) beyond its guide cannula), and the syringe pump was connected to the microinjection cannula with PE-20 polyethylene tubing. Drug and vehicle solutions were previously frozen and brought to room temperature (∼1°C). Drug and vehicle solutions were infused evenly over a 1-min period. After a 5-min delay, quinine solution was infused intraorally for a 1-min taste-reactivity test. Orofacial taste reactivity to sucrose and quinine solutions was video-recorded via a close-up lens aimed at an angled mirror placed underneath the transparent floor to capture a clear view of the mouth and ventral face and saved for subsequent video analysis (Supporting Information) (73).

Food Intake Tests. A voluntary 1-h test of palatable food intake was run beginning ∼30 min after the taste-reactivity test on each day. For intake test rats were transferred to another 23 × 20 × 45 cm chamber that contained a 1-cm-layer of corncob bedding (rats had been previously habituated to the food intake chamber during the four habituation days). Each rat was given free access to ∼20 g preweighed palatable milk-chocolate candy (M&M’s, 20 candies) and a water bottle. Chocolates were weighed before and after testing to calculate the amount consumed. Behavior during the 1-h test was video-recorded and later scored for eating behavior (duration in seconds), water drinking behavior (in seconds), grooming behavior (in seconds), and for food investigatory sniffs, food carrying, cage crosses, and rears (number of bouts).

Histology and Fos-Like Protein Immunohistochemistry. After the last day of behavioral testing, rats were deeply anesthetized with an overdose of sodium pentobarbital and were decapitated. Brains were extracted and fixed in 10% paraformaldehyde solution for 1–2 d followed by a 25% sucrose solution in 0.1 M NaPB for 2–3 d. For histological analysis of cannula placements in behaviorally tested animals, brains were sliced in 60-μm sections for regions of interest on a cryostat and then were mounted, dried, and stained with cresyl violet. Microscope inspection determined the center of each microinjection site, and the position was mapped on a stereotaxic atlas (27). Rats that were used for Fos analyses were anesthetized and transcardially perfused 90 min after receiving a microinjection of vehicle, orexin, or DAMGO. Brains were sliced at 40-μm increments, and samples were collected from the cortical injection site as well as from the other cortical and subcortical sites of interest (SI Materials and Methods). Samples were processed for Fos-like immunoreactivity using normal donkey serum, goat anti–c-fos (Santa Cruz Biotechnology), and donkey anti-goat Alexa Fluor 594 (Invitrogen). Injection sites were scattered across the OFC and insula to develop a single representative “cortical plume.” Sections were mounted, air-dried, and coverslipped with Prolong Gold antifade reagent (Invitrogen). Zones that showed elevated expression of fluorescent Fos in the neurons surrounding the microinjection site were then assessed via microscope along radial arms composed of 50 × 50 μm boxes (26, 74). Distant Fos quantification is described in Supporting Information.
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SI Materials and Methods

**Taste-Reactivity Video Scoring.** Each rat’s hedonic, aversive, and neutral taste-reactivity responses were scored in slow motion (frame-by-frame, each frame = 33 ms, at 1/10th actual speed) by a blind observer using Observer software. Hedonic responses were classified as lateral tongue protrusions, rhythmic midline tongue protrusions, and paw licks (73). Aversive reactions were classified as head shakes, gapes, forelimb flails, face washes, and chin rubs. Neutral responses were classified as ordinary grooming, passive dripping of solution out of the mouth, and rhythmic mouth movements. A time-bin scoring system was used to quantify positive and aversive reactions and to ensure that each component contributed equally to the overall calculations of taste-reactivity responses (73). Rhythmic mouth movements, paw licking, and passive dripping reactions were scored in 5-s time bins, while rhythmic midline tongue protrusions and chin rubs were scored in 2-s time bins. More discrete events, such as lateral tongue protrusions, gapes, forelimb flails, and head shakes, were scored individually every time they occurred.

**Total Hedonic and Aversive Responses.** Total hedonic and aversive responses were then calculated. The sum of lateral tongue protrusions, rhythmic tongue protrusions, and paw lick scores represented total hedonic reactions. The sum of gapes, head shakes, face washes, forelimb flails, and chin rub scores represented total aversive reactions. Animals performing more than 10 forelimb flails in response to sucrose across the 3 d of testing were considered to have compromised headcaps and were excluded from statistical analysis (n = 5). In the absence of other aversive reactions (e.g., gapes), forelimb flails likely indicate discomfort rather than disgust per se.

**Order of Behavioral Tests.** Each rat was tested on 3 d (vehicle, DAMGO, orexin), counterbalanced across rats. Each daily test was conducted in the following order: first the sucrose taste-reactivity test, then the quinine taste-reactivity test, and finally the food intake test. This order was chosen because we were primarily interested in cortical modulation of the positive hedonic impact of sucrose, and the same order has been previously used successfully for similar purposes (16, 29). Previous experience suggests that quinine orofacial reactivity remains robust after sucrose. Intake tests may lose sensitivity by following taste-reactivity tests. Food intake was measured for 2-s time bins. More discrete events, such as lateral tongue protrusions, rhythm midline tongue protrusions and chin rubs were scored in 2-s time bins. More discrete events, such as lateral tongue protrusions, gapes, forelimb flails, face washes, forelimb flails, and chin rub scores were calculated. The sum of lateral tongue protrusions, rhythmic tongue protrusions, and paw lick scores represented total hedonic reactions. The sum of gapes, head shakes, face washes, forelimb flails, and chin rub scores represented total aversive reactions. Animals performing more than 10 forelimb flails in response to sucrose across the 3 d of testing were considered to have compromised headcaps and were excluded from statistical analysis (n = 5). In the absence of other aversive reactions (e.g., gapes), forelimb flails likely indicate discomfort rather than disgust per se.

**Local and Distant Fos.** To map the spread of microinjection drug impact, local Fos expression immediately around the microinjection tip (Fos plumes) was assessed. Because we previously reported that the size of drug-induced Fos plumes shrinks after multiple microinjections due to the progressive growth of gliosis and other factors, the maximum diameter of Fos plumes was assessed in naïve rats after a single microinjection of DAMGO, orexin, or vehicle (n = 32, 3–4 per OFC hotspot/coldspot/insula hotspot sites per drug). This avoids underestimation of plume size and overly precise localization of function. Brains were sliced and processed for Fos immunohistology. Sections were mounted, air-dried, and covered slipped with Prolong Gold antifade reagent (Invitrogen). Zones that showed elevated expression of fluorescent Fos-expressing neurons surrounding microinjection sites were counted in 50 × 50 × 40 μm boxes via microscope (29, 74).

Distant Fos changes elicited by cortical drug microinjections but expressed in other distant cortical regions and in subcortical limbic structures (NAC, VP, and lateral hypothalamus) were also assessed in the same rats used for Fos plume measurement and were compared with baselines measured in rats that received vehicle microinjections. Fos was counted in 200 × 200 × 40 μm boxes via microscope.

**Fos Plume Analysis.** Fos plumes were measured by counting increases or decreases in neuronal expression of Fos after drug microinjections relative to baselines obtained from vehicle microinjections in other rats. All local and distant Fos tissue was sliced at 40-μm increments. Neurons expressing Fos were counted in 50 × 50 × 40 μm samples, spaced at 50-μm intervals from the microinjection tip, along eight radial arms emanating from the microinjection site. Each Fos plume thus contained multiple core samples, which helps provide within-plume replications of Fos counts in assessing the intensity of Fos elevation within a plume. Percentage changes in Fos induced by DAMGO or orexin from vehicle levels (e.g., 75% decrease, 125% increase) were averaged across rats at each distance along the arms to map and plot an averaged Fos plume for each drug. Fos plumes were assessed separately for rostral OFC hotspot, caudal OFC, and insula coldspot, and far-posterior insula hotspot, but those plume sizes did not differ statistically across cortical sites. DAMGO and orexin produced distinct plumes, and the diameters of these plumes were used to construct a functional map for each. Consistent with previous reports, our plumes appeared to be roughly circular in the M–L and D–V dimension. Thus, we assumed a circular A–P dimension to form spherical plume representations. All volumes were calculated using this spherical model.

Maps were constructed by collapsing medial or lateral sagittal planes for different regions of the OFC and insula to best convey the total area covered within each region. Symbols were sized to match the maximal expansion of Fos plumes. Color-coding was used to express the percent of change of hedonic reactions to sucrose after drug microinjections compared with vehicle test days. Separate maps were constructed for changes in aversive reactions to quinine and for changes in food intake. For statistical comparisons of cortical anatomical regions presented in Figs. 1 and 2, the rostral OFC included sites between +5.16 mm and +3.72 mm anterior to Bregma, caudal OFC included sites between +3.72 mm and +2.52 mm, rostral insula to midcaudal insula included sites between +3.72 mm and +0.00 mm, and far-caudal insula included sites between +0.00 mm and −3.00 mm.

**Distant Fos Analysis.** Fos was assessed in distant subcortical structures, including the rostrocaudal and rostroventral NAc medial shell, caudal medial shell, rostral VP, caudal VP, lateral hypothalamus, and in distant cortical zones (e.g., slices of the insula hotspot were collected from animals that received microinjections in the OFC hotspot). Fos immunoreactivity was quantified in each of these distant structures by counting the total number of Fos-positive cells within a 200 × 200 × 40 μm box, with one site sampled per structure or subregion of a structure. This 200 × 200 × 40 μm size for distant structures was larger than the 50 × 200 × 40 μm size used for Fos plumes, in part to sample more representative tissue and in part because the fine-grained spatial resolution needed to map the shape of Fos plumes was not needed for assessing overall Fos stimulation in relatively large regions. Effect sizes for Fos changes were calculated, and a high d-value threshold (greater than ±0.7) for Cohen’s d was set to ensure that only robust changes in Fos would be reported. Effect sizes and percent changes were used to express changes in Fos activity in Figs. 3–5. Samples were located in the following sites. The rostrocaudal NAc medial shell (+1.92 mm from...
Bregma) was chosen because it was previously identified to contain an opioid/orexin hedonic hotspot (16, 29, 67) and was identified as the dorsal and rostral quadrant of the medial shell, slightly medial to the lateral ventricle. The ventral NAc medial shell was located at the same A–P plane but was limited to the ventral half of the medial shell (and further ventral than the anterior commissure). The caudal NAc medial shell was also chosen because it contains a suppressive opioid hedonic coldspot (29, 67) and was identified as the dorsal and caudal quadrant of the medial shell, medial to the lateral ventricle and dorsal to the anterior commissure at around +1.20 mm from Bregma. The posterior VP was chosen because it was previously shown to contain an opioid hedonic hotspot (31) and was identified as the posterior (or lateral/dorsal) half of the VP, located just medial to the posterior part of the anterior commissure, where the septo-fimbrial nucleus disconnects from the thalamus. The rostral VP was chosen because it contains an opioid hedonic coldspot (31) and was identified as the rostral (or medial–ventral) half of the VP, rostral to the anterior limb of the anterior commissure. Finally, the lateral hypothalamus was identified as the lateral hypothalamus subregion located between the fornix and internal capsule, at the same A–P level where the insula ends (~3.00 mm from Bregma).
Fig. 51. Fos plume and distant Fos quantification. (A) Representative coronal slices for each site tested. The pink square indicates the site of the 50×50 μm box used to count Fos. (B) Fos expression showing the local plume surrounding the microinjection in the OFC hotspot (Top), the OFC/insula coldspot (Middle), or the insula hotspot (Bottom). Radial arms of 50×50 μm boxes are centered on the microinjection site, and Fos is sampled in each box to measure local plumes. The individual plume for this animal shows a suppressive plume (blue: 25% less Fos relative to vehicle controls) and an excitatory plume (yellow: 200% increase). (C, Left) Schematic of distant Fos in the rostroventral medial shell of the NAc (blue) after microinjections into the rostral orbitofrontal cortex hotspot (purple). (Right) Representative images for each drug condition. (D) Average Fos counts in the accumbens hotspot after vehicle (V), DAMGO (D), or orexin (O) stimulation in the OFC hotspot. (E, Left) Schematic of distant Fos in the caudal NAc (yellow) after microinjections in the caudal insula hotspot (brown). (Right) Representative images of each drug condition. (F) Average Fos counts for the accumbens coldspot after vehicle, DAMGO, or orexin stimulation in the insula hotspot.
Fig. S2. No sex differences were seen in DAMGO/orexin effects on taste reactivity or intake. (A) Females (F) and males (M) emitted similar numbers of hedonic reactions to sucrose after vehicle microinjections ($\chi^2 = 0.484$, $P = 0.486$; female, $n = 58$, male = 69). DAMGO or orexin microinjections also produced similar modulations of hedonic reactions to sucrose in females and males (DAMGO: $\chi^2 = -1.297$, $P = 0.195$; orexin: $\chi^2 = -0.083$, $P = 0.934$). (B) For further verification, we assessed separate OFC or insula microinjection sites. For the rostromedial OFC hotspot, the magnitude of hedonic enhancements did not differ between females and males and after either DAMGO or orexin-A microinjections (DAMGO: $\chi^2 = 0.243$, $P = 0.622$; orexin: $\chi^2 = 0.506$, $P = 0.477$; female = 7, male = 6). (C) For the posterior insula hotspot, the magnitude of hedonic enhancements did not differ between females and males after DAMGO ($\chi^2 = 0.142$, $P = 0.707$) or orexin ($\chi^2 = 0.0001$, $P = 0.984$) microinjections (female = 5, male = 6). (D) For the lateral OFC and anterior insula coldspot, the magnitude of sucrose hedonic suppression did not differ between females and males after DAMGO ($\chi^2 = 1.125$, $P = 0.289$) or orexin ($\chi^2 = 0.539$, $P = 0.463$) microinjections (female = 15, male = 11). (E) Food intake: overall, females and males did not differ in the amount of M&Ms consumed after vehicle ($\chi^2 = 0.635$, $P = 0.425$), DAMGO ($\chi^2 = 1.42$, $P = 0.233$), or orexin ($\chi^2 = 0.633$, $P = 0.426$) microinjections. (F) Assessing separate sites, for all of the insula, the magnitude of increases in intake did not differ between females and males after DAMGO ($\chi^2 = 0.007$, $P = 0.934$) or orexin ($\chi^2 = 0.434$, $P = 0.510$) microinjections. (G) For the OFC, females and males did differ in baseline intake after vehicle injection (female vehicle = 4.45 g, male vehicle = 7.71 g; $\chi^2 = 9.280$, $P = 0.002$). (H) The magnitude of increases in intake did not differ between females and males after microinjections of DAMGO or orexin (DAMGO: $\chi^2 = 0.091$, $P = 0.763$; orexin: $\chi^2 = 0.000$, $P = 1.00$; medians are shown by pink lines).
Fig. S3. Microstructure analysis of taste reactions to sucrose. Taste-reactivity components: Positive hedonic reactions are paw licks (PL), lateral tongue protrusions (LTP), and midline rhythmic tongue protrusions (TP). Negative or aversive components are gapes (G), headshakes (HS), forelimb flails (FF), and chin rubs (CR). Scoring: Each occurrence was counted for LTP, G, HS, FF, and CR; TP was scored in 2-s bins and PL was scored in 5-s bins. Bar graphs show absolute scores (mean and SE; the median is indicated by a pink line) for each microinjection condition (gray bars: vehicle; red bars: DAMGO; orange bars: orexin). (A) For the rostromedial OFC hedonic hotspot, microinjections of DAMGO or orexin enhanced positive hedonic reactions to sucrose and specifically increased both LTPs and TPs ($\chi^2 = 7.947, P < 0.01$; DAMGO: $Z = -2.492, P = 0.013$; orexin: $Z = -2.439, P = 0.015$; $n = 13$). By contrast, OFC hotspot microinjections did not alter aversive reactions to sucrose (always low) ($\chi^2 = 2.45, P = 0.294$). (B) For the posterior insula hotspot, DAMGO hedonic enhancements of sucrose included increases in both TPs and PLs ($\chi^2 = 8.581, P = 0.014$; DAMGO: $Z = -2.316, P = 0.021$; orexin: $Z = -2.366, P = 0.018$; PLs: $\chi^2 = 8.667, P = 0.013$; DAMGO: $Z = -1.802, P = 0.072$; $n = 11$); all aversive reactions remained low and unaltered ($\chi^2 = 0.963, P = 0.618$). (C) For the anatomically intervening OFC-insula coldspot, DAMGO specifically reduced TPs ($\chi^2 = 21.395, P < 0.001$; DAMGO: $Z = -3.929, P < 0.001$; orexin: $Z = -1.349, P = 0.177$) and LTPs ($\chi^2 = 8.173, P = 0.017$; DAMGO: $Z = -2.593, P = 0.010$; orexin: $Z = -1.654, P = 0.098$; $n = 26$). Aversive reactions to sucrose remained low and unchanged. ($\chi^2 = 1.658, P = 0.437$.)
Fig. S4. Raw orofacial component counts elicited by sucrose after drug microinjections. (Top) Raw (unbinned) counts (y axis) of tongue protrusions, paw licking, lateral tongue protrusions, and mouth movements after vehicle, DAMGO, or orexin microinjections (x axis) in the OFC hotspot. (Middle) Raw counts of tongue protrusions, paw licking, lateral tongue protrusions, and mouth movements after vehicle, DAMGO, or orexin microinjections in the insula hotspot. (Bottom) Raw counts of tongue protrusions, paw licking, lateral tongue protrusions, and mouth movements after vehicle, DAMGO, or orexin microinjections in the OFC-insula coldspot. Number of animals are as in Fig. S3.
Fig. S5. Microstructure analysis of taste reactions to quinine. Taste-reactivity components: Positive hedonic reactions are paw licks (PL), lateral tongue protrusions (LTP), and midline rhythmic tongue protrusions (TP). Negative or aversive components are gapes (G), headshakes (HS), forelimb flails (FF), and chin rubs (CR). Scoring: Each occurrence was counted for LTP, G, HS, FF, and CR; TP was scored in 2-s bins, and PL was scored in 5-s bins. Bars show absolute number (mean and SEM; the median is indicated by a pink line) of quinine-elicited components (DAMGO: dark purple bars; orexin: light purple bars). (A) For the rostromedial OFC hotspot, aversive disgust reactions were always elicited by quinine, and no taste-reactivity component was changed by either DAMGO or orexin microinjections ($\chi^2 = 2.178, P = 0.337$). (B) For the posterior insula hotspot, disgust reactions to quinine again remained robust, and no reactivity component was changed by DAMGO or orexin microinjections ($\chi^2 = 2.889, P = 0.236$). (C) For the intervening OFC-insula coldspot, aversive disgust reactions to quinine remained robust, and no component was changed after DAMGO or orexin microinjections ($\chi^2 = 3.93, P = 0.140$). Number of animals is as in Fig. S3.
Table S1. Raw Fos counts after drug microinjections: Averages and SEs of total Fos in distant Fos sites after OFC hotspot drug microinjections

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Vehicle, n = 4</th>
<th>DAMGO, n = 6</th>
<th>Orexin, n = 6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Orbitofrontal hotspot</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>Mean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>25.50</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td>22.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orbitofrontal/insula coldspot</td>
<td>17.67</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td><strong>23.67</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insula hotspot</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td><strong>12.83</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAc hotspot</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>2.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ventrall NAc</td>
<td>22.25</td>
<td>4.15</td>
<td>20.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VP coldspot</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>3.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VP hotspot</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral hypothalamus</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td><strong>3.17</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Red cells indicate >110% increase in Fos compared with vehicle levels. Bolded values indicate a Cohen’s d value greater than ±0.7.

Table S2. Raw Fos counts after drug microinjections: Averages and SEs of total Fos in distant Fos sites after OFC/insula coldspot drug microinjections

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Vehicle, n = 4</th>
<th>DAMGO, n = 5</th>
<th>Orexin, n = 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Orbitofrontal/insula coldspot</td>
<td>23.00</td>
<td>3.61</td>
<td><strong>16.83</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insula hotspot</td>
<td>15.25</td>
<td>2.21</td>
<td><strong>12.17</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAc hotspot</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>3.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAc coldspot</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td><strong>2.83</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ventrall NAc</td>
<td>7.50</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>7.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VP coldspot</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td><strong>4.00</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VP hotspot</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral hypothalamus</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td><strong>0.67</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Red cells indicate >110% increase in Fos compared with vehicle levels. Blue cells indicate <75% Fos compared with vehicle levels. Bolded values indicate a Cohen’s d value greater than ±0.7.

Table S3. Raw Fos counts after drug microinjections: Averages and SEs of total Fos in distant Fos sites after insula hotspot drug microinjections

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Vehicle (n = 4)</th>
<th>DAMGO (n = 6)</th>
<th>Orexin (n = 6)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Insula hotspot</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>Mean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20.25</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>21.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orbitofrontal hotspot</td>
<td>16.00</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>17.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAc hotspot</td>
<td>11.00</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>11.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAc coldspot</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td><strong>0.60</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ventrall NAc</td>
<td>15.00</td>
<td>2.65</td>
<td>11.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VP coldspot</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>2.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VP hotspot</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>1.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral hypothalamus</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>2.60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Red cells indicate >110% increase in Fos compared with vehicle levels. Blue cells indicate <75% Fos compared with vehicle levels. Bolded values indicate a Cohen’s d value greater than ±0.7.