“THE MALCOLM X DOCTRINE”

The Republic of New Afrika and National Liberation on U.S. Soil

Self-Determination is a wonderful thing.
— Albert Cleage Jr., The Black Messiah

Sponsored by the Malcolm X Society, the Black Government Conference brought about five hundred Black radicals to Detroit’s Shrine of the Black Madonna church for a weekend-long meeting at the end of March 1968. Some two years after “Black Power” had received national attention as a militant rallying cry against white supremacy, the Detroit gathering ended with a hundred of the attendees signing a declaration of independence from the United States.

Building off deep histories of Detroit radicalism and Black nationalism, the Black Government Conference was more than a next step in the burgeoning Black Power movement. It brought together Black Power militants with frustrated youth, insurgent workers, fiery Marxists, and old Garveyites. While only one of many such events aiming to further such Black radicalism, it differed from the Black Power conferences held in Berkeley (1966), Newark (1967), and Philadelphia (1968). Although those gatherings often drew bigger crowds, the Black Government Conference was arguably the most programmatic. Amidst the most volatile year of 1960s-era rebellion, the conference proffered a declaration of independence for all people of African descent in the United States. Out of its call emerged both an entity and an ideology.
The political thought and structure of the conference crystallized in the Republic of New Afrika (RNA). From the beginning the RNA put forth an ambitious program calling for self-determination. At a time when numerous leftist organizations were declaring Black people to be colonized by U.S. imperialism and white supremacy, the Republic of New Afrika declared independence from the colonial power and established mechanisms for self-rule. Borrowing from (and inspired by) the many successful revolutionary national liberation struggles then dotting the globe, the RNA attempted to forge a new nation in North America. It aimed to make concrete a homeland for those who, like heavyweight champion Muhammad Ali, proclaimed that being Black was antithetical to being American. Unlike the Nation of Islam, however, the RNA platform was decidedly political, unequivocally revolutionary, and irrepressibly internationalist. In that, the RNA built on the platform pioneered by Malcolm X in the last year of his life, a strategy based on revolutionary nationalism and international law.

The RNA’s history traces the contours of nationalist thought among Black radicals, providing a valuable (and understudied) case study of revolutionary nationalist organizing stretching from the late 1960s to today. Discussions of the RNA to date have been minimal and fragmentary. But without a broader focus on the RNA’s attempts to establish an independent nation in the U.S. South as part of a Pan-African revolutionary movement, one could limit discussion to the group’s early Detroit presence or dismiss the phenomenon by saying that “a group of RNA activists moved to Mississippi, declared the new nation, and defended their turf against local police, [but] they ultimately had little success.” Such a description elides the distinct contributions that the RNA made to sustaining Black Power and Pan-Africanist politics. It also overlooks the RNA’s defining characteristic: in the context of pervasive Black nationalism, the Republic of New Afrika was the most explicit attempt to articulate and organize a visible and viable national liberation struggle among Black people in the United States, an attempt that refocused Black radical attention on the South and made the struggle for reparations a foundational point of concern.

Building a Nation

The RNA was hardly the only current in the Black freedom struggle declaring itself a movement for national liberation. Radicals at the 1967 Black Power conference had debated whether they should seize five, seven, or thirteen states for an independent Black Nation. At the following year’s conference, many argued that an underground Black army would take over towns throughout the United States as part of liberating territory. Thousands at the 1972 National Black Political Convention in Gary, Indiana—a multi-tendency conference aiming to unite...
the Black liberation movement in a shared program—embraced “Nation Time!” as their cry. Emerging from both entrenched and burgeoning traditions of revolutionary nationalism, the RNA was an attempt to operationalize Black Power as a project of independence. Amidst such widespread nationalist fervour, RNA finance minister Raymond Willis told the Los Angeles Times that the Republic offered “an alternative to chaos” in a country that “is in a state of revolution.”

Black Power was an elastic concept, allowing for everything from Black capitalism and electoral politics to Pan-Africanist revolutionary socialism. The RNA provided an alternative strategy, neither emigrationist nor assimilationist, to the widespread Black critique of U.S. nationalism, state structures, and political economy.

The Republic moved quickly and boldly in setting up the apparatus of an independent nation: the founding conference defined the five states of the Black Belt South (Alabama, Georgia, Louisiana, Mississippi, and South Carolina) as national territory. Upon its founding, the RNA “established consulates in New York, Philadelphia, Chicago, Pittsburgh, San Francisco, Los Angeles, Baltimore, Cleveland, and Washington, D.C.” and began meeting with foreign governments, including the Soviet Union, Tanzania, Sudan, and China.

It also elected officials to lead the Republic and developed a creed outlining its principles for self-determination. The first president was Robert Williams, then in exile for his organizing and armed self-defence as head of the Monroe, North Carolina, chapter of the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People (NAACP). Since his departure from the United States, Williams had developed his commitment to Black nationalism by building relationships with revolutionary movements from around the globe. His example, like that of Malcolm X, articulated a revolutionary nationalist politics firmly rooted in anti-imperialist internationalism. From Tanzania, the RNA president-elect issued a statement calling the Republic “one of self-determination for an oppressed people” rooted in Black nationalism, grassroots democracy, and a socialist economics. Williams’s high profile attracted additional attention to the RNA upon its founding, though he resigned as president in the fall of 1969 after returning to the United States following a decade-long absence.

Although it declared the five Southern states its national territory, the RNA was based in Detroit for its first two years and retained a strong presence there for several years to come. Founding members Richard and Milton Henry, who renamed themselves Imari and Gaidi Obadele, respectively, each had impressive track records as organizers in the Motor City. Prior to organizing the Black Government Conference, both men had already participated as key leaders of numerous groups. The pair helped start the Group on Advanced Leadership (GOAL) in 1962, the Michigan Freedom Now Party (FNP) in 1963, the Malcolm X Society in 1967, and then the RNA in 1968. Their political history and mentors...
are a veritable who's who of the postwar Black radical left, including Albert Cleage (whose church sponsored the RNA’s founding conference), James and Grace Lee Boggs, Muhammad Ahmad—even Kwame Nkrumah of Ghana. But it was Malcolm X who had the greatest impact. Three of Malcolm’s most influential speeches—“Message to the Grassroots,” “The Ballot or the Bullet,” and his February 14, 1965, talk—were given at GOAL-sponsored events in Detroit. Imari Obadele dedicated his 1966 pamphlet War in America: The Malcolm X Doctrine to “the Malcolmites,” and an early draft of the RNA’s New Afrikan creed staked its authority “by the grace of Malcolm.”

Setting itself up to govern an internal colony, the RNA rallied around a demand to “Free the Land.” And that is what it tried to do, especially after the organization moved to Mississippi in 1970. The decision to organize in the rural South was as much for defence as ideology: based on his analysis of how ghetto rebellions were crushed in the mid-1960s, Obadele had long argued that Northern cities were strategically untenable. Even if majority Black, the cities of the urban North were surrounded by white people, making them easy to repress. The post-1960s growth of suburbs only exacerbated this process of racially inflected spatial separation. In the South, Obadele argued, the sizable Black rural population would provide useful cover. His position won out in a contentious struggle among the RNA top leadership. Of particular interest to the Republic was what it called “Kush,” the twenty-five counties in the 15,000 square feet along the Mississippi River from Memphis to Louisiana. Kush was a prized territory in both size and historical significance. It was valued for its resources and as the only place in the United States in 1970 where Black people still constituted a majority of the population. It was therefore a strategic component of RNA plans to secure the broader Republic. The Republic established its national headquarters and presidential residence near Jackson, Mississippi, in the heart of Kush.

The RNA’s Southern specificity but broader U.S. and global focus distinguish the group from other revolutionary attempts. (Because the RNA still exists under a similar platform, I refer to enduring political tenets in the present tense.) As RNA organizers pushed for their own nation, they argued for the necessity of change within the current U.S. context. The organization’s ongoing work around reparations and its support for U.S. political prisoners continue to call into question racial and economic injustice in the form of “national oppression.” While interrogating the U.S. nation-state overall, the RNA has carved out a particular space for emphasizing the historical and strategic significance of the U.S. South; but its attention to the United States overall distinguishes the RNA’s Black Belt focus from that of the Communist Party in the 1930s. Unlike the CP, the RNA defined all Black people in America as colonized, with the five states a viable solution to such colonization. Chokwe Lumumba said the five states were the
“heart of the Black nation,” but not its entirety. For the RNA, the slave trade and continuing racial oppression created the Black Nation; the five Southern states provided a solution to the colonization that all Africans in North America faced. It was an effort to leverage the political, social, and spatial power of New Afrikans in contesting the power of the U.S. government. But the Black Nation was a salient ideological home, found wherever Black people resided.

The RNA unveiled its Anti-Depression Program in 1972, with legislative actions for securing independence. The program made three basic demands of the U.S. government: that it cede land and sovereignty to the RNA “in areas where blacks vote for independence” via plebiscite; that it pay $300 billion in reparations “for slavery and unjust war against the black nation”; and establish a negotiations procedure to determine a reparations payment. This program, it was hoped, would help “end poverty, dependence, and crime,” “raise self-esteem, achievement, and creativity, and … promote inter-racial peace.” Within months of its release, the program was presented to the Black Political Convention in Gary, submitted to the U.S. Congress, and approved by the NAACP-headed Mississippi Loyalist Democrats.

The Anti-Depression Program was the most developed policy statement that the Republic had released to that time. Its wide circulation and sizable support among Black professional and political circles—including Michigan Senator John Conyers and comedian Dick Gregory—highlight the RNA’s organizing savvy. Such petitions and programs to city councils, state governments, and the federal government expressed a serious willingness to achieve a plebiscite and cession of land non-violently. To be sure, RNA members did not hide their support of armed self-defence, guerrilla war, or sabotage, and military training was deemed compulsory for all citizens. Yet the RNA never engaged in open war with the United States. Rather, the RNA has tried to establish itself under the rubric of international law. As Obadele wrote from a Mississippi jail while awaiting trial, “Every step taken by the Republic of New Africa has been plotted to stay within limits generated by their laws and constitution.”

Central to the Anti-Depression plan was the development of “New Communities” in RNA territory, especially Mississippi. These communities, according to the plan, would be based on the Tanzanian socialist model of *ujamaa* and give life to the Republic’s territorial claims through development and emigration. They would demonstrate New Afrikan sovereignty amidst grave repression, providing a rear base and free state for the embattled Black masses. Together with reparations, the New Communities would provide the infrastructure so that Black people who had left the South out of economic necessity or political terror could move back. Viewing these community efforts as a crucial step towards self-determination, Republic officials started the Society for the Development of
New Communities (SDNC), a non-profit corporation to raise money for Black economic development.25

Although SDNC was the primary grassroots fundraising mechanism, reparations remained a key strategy for developing the Republic—and for securing racial justice for all Black people in the United States. The reparations demand was not a fundraising strategy; instead, it was a strategic point of organizing that could serve as a unified rallying cry. RNA workers proved themselves quite adept at doing just that. In March 1974 the RNA held an election in thirty counties across Mississippi, where nearly 5,000 people voted for reparations and elected Obadele president of the Mississippi Black Assembly. The election was monitored by the Election Commission of the Black Political Scientists.26 That fall the National Black Political Convention unanimously approved a resolution calling for the National Black Assembly to join the RNA and the Mississippi Black Assembly in calling for $300 billion in reparations.

An Internationalist State

Central to the RNA’s goals was to strive for international representation, as Malcolm X had encouraged towards the end of his life. This global focus was both political and strategic: RNA co-founder Imari Obadele wrote that this approach would ensure that “attacks upon us [Malcolmites] by the United States become international matters threatening world peace, and thereby within reach of the United Nations, thereby within reach of our friends in Africa and Asia who would help us.” Only when Black people had clearly rejected U.S. citizenship and its civil rights paradigm, Obadele argued, could such international support blossom.27 The RNA joined such a global framework with Malcolm’s insistence on land and self-defence as key to nation-building. With the help and advice of former Communist and ex-Garveyite Queen Mother Audley Moore, this paradigm achieved practical expression through the RNA’s call for reparations and for control of the five Southern states.28 The two went together: reparations were to pay for bulking up the Black Nation’s territory, similar to the way in which, Obadele argued, German reparations did for Israel.29

Using international law provisions against colonialism, the RNA planned to organize a plebiscite for people of African descent in the United States to determine their status. RNA officials advanced this argument as part of settling what they saw as a long-neglected aspect of the Fourteenth Amendment of the U.S. Constitution. The amendment, according to the Republic, offers but does not grant citizenship. Black people have never been given a chance to choose whether they want the citizenship that has been forced upon them. The obligations of it were bestowed while the rights have never been guaranteed. The plebiscite aimed
to settle these questions—and move ever closer to establishing an independent, internationally recognized nation with the full consent of the governed. The RNA was not the first to call for such a measure; in its Revolutionary People’s Constitutional Convention, the Black Panther Party had also called for a U.N.-supervised plebiscite to determine whether Black people were citizens of the United States. The two groups differed not on the demand but on the timing. As Huey Newton elucidated in a September 1969 letter to the RNA, the Panther leadership did not advocate a plebiscite until “[w]e wipe out once and for all the oppressive structure of America,” which would not be possible without a sizable coalition. Although he deemed the RNA “perfectly justified in demanding and declaring the right to secede from the nation,” Newton feared that implementing the RNA’s plans at the time would be dangerously and unnecessarily isolating. The RNA, meanwhile, viewed this plebiscite both as a way of settling historical injustices and as an organizing campaign, and began working for it almost immediately. The plebiscite, argued the RNA, was fundamental to establishing an independent, internationally recognized nation—and a vital step towards securing the consent of those subjecting themselves to rule by the Republic of New Afrika rather than by the United States of America.

The principle of carving out a “Black Power state” from the Southern United States emerged from what is perhaps the RNA’s most interesting ideological contribution, the creation of a new political subject. At a time when national discourse was only just beginning to shift from Negro to Black or Afro-American, the 1968 Black Government Conference introduced a new political designation: the New Afrikan, a Pan-African identity forged by the generations of shared oppression, language, and culture of the many African nations enslaved in the United States. It is an identity constructed through the history of slavery, rooted in the Black Belt South that slaves had built and that has always been home to a disproportionate number of people of African descent. It is an identity that carries with it a pledge of allegiance to a new and amalgamated form of social arrangements. From the beginning the Republic of New Afrika did not describe an organization as much as an idea and a demand—for reparations, for independence, and for land. Since 1968 there has been an organized entity in the RNA, crafted as a state in exile and complete with elected officials. The Republic, meanwhile, defines the national territory of Black America—a vision of and a bold proposal for self-determination. Citizenship is voluntary and available to any Black person who declares it. (Yuri Kochiyama, the Japanese-American activist and stalwart comrade of Malcolm X, has been the notable exception to the RNA’s African-descent citizenship policies.) To distinguish between the Black Nation as a concept and its governmental apparatus, the RNA ultimately established a formal ruling body—the Provisional Government of the Republic of New Afrika.
(PG-RNA). Although accepting citizenship in the Republic signified an affinity with the PG-RNA, it primarily affirmed commitment to a self-described New Afrikan Independence Movement (NAIM). For all its territorial ambitions, the RNA saw citizenship as constituting an ideological position of Pan-Africanist connection among Black people—an orientation to overturning the settler colonialism and global imperialism of the U.S. Empire.

Its focus on the (rural) South at a time when many saw Black Power as a phenomenon of the (urban) North was an attempted solution to the colonized position of all Blacks in the United States, an attempt to stitch together urban and rural resistance through the development of New Communities in Mississippi and consulates throughout the United States from which the RNA could conduct political education and organize for reparations and on behalf of U.S. political prisoners. The RNA was a call to empower the Third World within North America. Its declaration of independence defined a nation as shared culture (which it described as a way of life), shared land, and a shared government. Like many other revolutionary nationalists, then, the proponents of RNA politics disaggregated nation from state: “nation” characterized the position of all Black people in the United States; “state” was a demand, consistent with the developmentalist approach of Third World liberation struggles at the time.

While its demand for state power exposed the limitations of this approach—including, in the RNA’s founding years, sanctioned polygamy, frontier ideology, and identity essentialism—the consecration of a new political identity located an anticolonial subjectivity while simultaneously identifying colonized territory. This combination elevated the Black liberation movement’s opposition to U.S. imperialism as part of a radical internationalist project. In providing a poignant interlocution of the U.S. narrative and structure, the RNA equipped the Black Power movement and its sympathizers with an ideological and physical apparatus that generated a detailed, if not widely held, vision for liberating the internal colony. The RNA’s existence and its program called into question the U.S. state form itself as a legitimate political border. Nikhil Singh’s description of the Black Panther Party seems especially apt in describing the RNA: it was a “projection of sovereignty” that attempted to decolonize the United States from within by asserting self-determination. Establishing the apparatus of national liberation was essential to securing it. This plan called for simultaneously confronting the existing U.S. state while developing alternative institutions to replace the current social arrangements.

Formed amidst the height of state repression against Black revolutionaries, the RNA was an effort to move the Black Power struggle to a place in which it could operate on its own terms rather than from within the U.S. framework. New Afrika was the parallel to the unfolding struggle for a united, socialist Africa.

“Malcolm X Doctrine”
Thus it was not just the Black Power state but also the Pan-African state in North America. As such the New Afrikan was a transnational identity, making the Republic an effort in creating a diasporic Black state. Imari Obadele made an explicit connection to African national liberation movements: “For no less than they have We [sic] boldly shed the nationality of our colonizer and gone to contest for independent land.”

The Republic of New Afrika offered a clear program to the overarching impulse towards nationalism then characterizing many Black communities. Its strategy mirrored other stateless national liberation struggles, where establishing national identification and governmental apparatus was of primary importance. As actress and RNA organizer Colia LaFayette put it, “The Vietnamese and the Palestinians have well demonstrated to the world that even subjugated peoples can and should elect their own provisional governments. There are certain aspects of the freedom struggle that can only properly be carried out by such a popularly elected provisional government.”

For this reason, distinctions between “territorial” and “revolutionary” nationalism prove insufficient metrics for analyzing the RNA. Beginning in a time when even detached academics described Black people in America as a colonized population, the RNA contributed to this perspective a strategic view that saw independent land as the missing ingredient for securing self-determination. Following Malcolm X, the RNA saw land and a governmental apparatus as the basis of sovereignty. Its territorial claims are inseparable from the revolutionary orientation inscribed in its founding declaration of independence, which pledged New Afrika’s commitment to “wage the world revolution until all people everywhere are so free.” Given the declaration’s support for sexual equality, collective distribution of state-owned production, and opposition to class discrimination, as well as the establishment of Mississippi communities modelled on the principles of ujamaa, the RNA also calls into question the corollary juxtaposition imposed between nationalism and socialism.

Just as it is often positioned against socialism, nationalism is often defined as contrary to feminism—a critique with ample historical evidence. Although it is outside the scope of this article, a detailed analysis of the RNA’s gender politics would be a valuable endeavour. The group’s initial leaders and publicly identifiable theorists were men, though Queen Mother Moore’s involvement provided a strong example of women’s political and intellectual leadership. From the beginning the RNA upheld gender equality as part of its revolutionary program, which is something even the Panthers failed to enshrine in their program. As with other nationalist projects, the RNA’s gender politics prized respect and equality, though saying nothing about gender roles or sexuality, presuming a heteronormative standard of relationships that still defined women as wife and mother, if also
soldier and citizen. Further study of the RNA, especially in the form of oral histories, is needed to understand these and other dynamics.

**Self-Determination and the Sixties**

The U.S. government did not look kindly on the RNA’s efforts. The group witnessed major waves of attack in Detroit (1969), Mississippi (1971, 1981), and New York (1981). As with the Panthers, the state viewed the RNA’s projection of sovereignty as a threat to its own fragile hold on hegemonic power. The RNA faced repeated battles with the U.S. state, in both Detroit and Mississippi, during an array of armed confrontations and legal challenges. Such fights generated several political prisoners for the RNA throughout the 1970s. Organizing for their release, again through appeals to international law, offered another chance for the RNA to question the legitimacy of U.S. democracy by rejecting the criminalization of Black insurgency.

Yet such heavy losses and legal hurdles did not shut down the Republic. The dream of New Afrika inspired ongoing action for independence, which continues to animate RNA actions. Despite its enormous setbacks, the Republic has continued organizing for reparations, against repression, and for official status. In frightening all levels of government, the RNA brought to light continuing white supremacist tensions in the Deep South. It connected Detroit’s militant legacy with a strategic emphasis on the South, exposing the deep-seated hostility to Black self-determination. The RNA also placed reparations squarely on the agenda of a radical movement in the United States and as part of global Pan-African resistance. In contesting naturalized categories of nation, state, and governance, the Republic of New Afrika forces us to rethink the basic units of American political practice in the sixties and beyond.
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